

Date submitted (Mountain Standard Time): 6/16/2019 3:30:14 PM

First name: Nancy

Last name: London

Organization:

Title:

Official Representative/Member Indicator:

Address1: P.O.Box 31704

Address2:

City: Santa Fe

State:

Province/Region: New Mexico

Zip/Postal Code:

Country: United States

Email: nlondon36@gmail.com

Phone: 505-690-3637

Comments:

I am respectfully insisting that the 30 day comment period be extended to 90 days, and that before any further action is taken, the required EIS be implemented

Nancy London, MSW
P.O. Box 31704
Santa Fe, NM 87594

July 5th 2019

Dear Mr. Melonas,

I have been a resident of Santa Fe for thirty years and am writing to express some grave concerns about the planned Landscape Resiliency Project.


There needs to be a longer period allowed for comment and additional research, specifically an Environmental Impact Study. Why is there such a rush to push this through?

We are in the midst of a critical climate crisis and the last thing we need is to reduce the number of carbon sequestering plants. Why haven't the work~~s~~of climate scientists been considered in this project?

And what of the chemicals used that will be impacting our health?

Of course we are all concerned about fire, but providing the tools and funds for home protection seems a saner safer course.

There is a growing unease about this project and for good reasons. There's a potential disaster waiting to happen in what you are proposing, and I hope that you are able to resist whatever and whomever is pressuring you to speed ahead without due consideration.

Sincerely, 
Nancy London, MSW

Date submitted (Mountain Standard Time): 8/5/2019 12:00:00 AM

First name: Nancy

Last name: London

Organization:

Title:

Comments:

Dear Mr. Melonas,

I have been a resident of Santa Fe for thirty years and am writing to express some grave concerns about the planned Landscape Resiliency Project.

There needs to be a longer period allowed for comment and additional research, specifically an Environmental Impact Study. Why is there such a rush to push this through?

We are in the midst of a critical climate crisis and the last thing we need is to reduce the number of carbon sequestering plants. Why haven't the works of climate scientists been considered in this project?

And what of the chemicals used that will be impacting our health?

Of course we are all concerned about fire, but providing the tools and funds for home protection seems a saner safer course.

There is a growing unease about this project and for good reasons. There's a potential disaster waiting to happen in what you are proposing, and I hope that you are able to resist whatever and whomever is pressuring you to speed ahead without due consideration.